arXiv:math/9509203v1 [math.CV] 4 Nov 1995

ON N-CIRCLED H$^\infty$-DOMAINS OF HOLOMORPHY

Marek Jarnicki (Kraków), Peter Pflug (Oldenburg)

Abstract. We present various characterizations of n-circled domains of holomorphy $G \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ with respect to some subspaces of $\mathcal{H}^\infty(G)$.

Introduction

We say that a domain $G \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is *n-circled* if $(e^{i\theta_1}z_1, \dots, e^{i\theta_n}z_n) \in G$ for arbitrary $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in G$ and $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Put $\log G := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : (e^{x_1}, \dots, e^{x_n}) \in G\}$.

If $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a convex domain, then $\mathcal{E}(X)$ denotes the largest vector subspace $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $X + F = X$.

A vector subspace $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be of *rational type* if F spanned by $F \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$.

Let $L^2_h(G) := \mathcal{O}(G) \cap L^2(G)$.

The following results are known (cf. [Jar-Pfl 1]).

Proposition 1. *Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be an n-circled domain of holomorphy. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*
(i) *G is fat (i.e. $G = \operatorname{int}\overline{G}$) and the space $\mathcal{E}(\log G)$ is of rational type;*
(ii) *there exist $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $(c_\alpha)_{\alpha\in A} \subset (0, +\infty)$ such that*

$$G = \operatorname{int} \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \{|z^\alpha| < c_\alpha\};$$

(iii) *G is an $\mathcal{H}^\infty(G)$-domain of holomorphy.*

Proposition 2. *Let $G \subsetneq \mathbb{C}^n$ be a fat n-circled domain of holomorphy. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*
(i) *$\mathcal{E}(\log G) = \{0\}$;*
(ii) *$L^2_h(G) \neq \{0\}$;*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32D05.

Key words and phrases. n-circled domains of holomorphy.

Research supported by KBN Grant No 2 PO3A 060 08 and by Volkswagen Stiftung Az. I/71 062

Typeset by $\mathcal{AMS}$-TEX

(iii) *G is an $L_h^2(G)$-domain of holomorphy.*

Define

$$L_h^{p,k}(G) := \{f \in \mathcal{O}(G) \colon \forall_{|\nu| \le k} \colon \partial^\nu f \in L^p(G)\}, \quad p \in [1, +\infty],\ k \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

where $\partial^\nu := \frac{\partial^{|\nu|}}{\partial z_1^{\nu_1} \dots \partial z_n^{\nu_n}}$, $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^n$. Note that the space $L_h^{p,k}(G)$ endowed with the norm $\|f\|_{L_h^{p,k}(G)} := \sum_{|\nu| \le k} \|\partial^\nu f\|_{L^p(G)}$ is a Banach space. Put

$$L_h^p(G) := L_h^{p,0}(G) = \mathcal{O}(G) \cap L^p(G), \quad \mathcal{H}^{\infty,k}(G) := L_h^{\infty,k}(G).$$

Note that $L_h^\infty(G) = \mathcal{H}^{\infty,0}(G) = \mathcal{H}^\infty(G)$. Let

$$L_h^{\diamond,k}(G) := \bigcap_{p \in [1,+\infty]} L_h^{p,k}(G),$$

$$\mathcal{A}^k(G) := \{f \in \mathcal{O}(G) \colon \forall_{|\nu| \le k}\ \exists_{f_\nu \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{G})} \colon f_\nu = \partial^\nu f \text{ in } G\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

$$\mathcal{A}^\infty(G) := \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{A}^k(G).$$

Remark. (a) If the volume of G is finite, then $\mathcal{H}^{\infty,k}(G) \subset L_h^{\diamond,k}(G)$.
(b) If G is bounded, then $\mathcal{A}^k(G) \subset \mathcal{H}^{\infty,k}(G)$.

The aim of this paper is to generalize Propositions 1, 2. The starting point of these investigations was our trial to understand the general situation behind the last example in § I.5 in [Sib]. We will prove the following results.

Proposition 3. *Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be an n-circled domain of holomorphy. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*
(i) *G is fat and $\mathcal{E}(\log G) = \{0\}$;*
(ii) *G is fat and there exists $p \in [1, +\infty)$ such that $L_h^p(G) \neq \{0\}$;*
(iii) *$G \subsetneq \mathbb{C}^n$ and for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ the domain G is an $L_h^{\diamond,k}(G) \cap \mathcal{A}^k(G)$-domain of holomorphy.*

Observe that for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ the space $\mathcal{F}_k(G) := L_h^{\diamond,k}(G) \cap \mathcal{A}^k(G)$ endowed with the norms $\| \ \|_{L_h^{p,k}(G)}$, $p \in [1, +\infty]$, is a Fréchet space. Consequently, condition (iii) is equivalent to the following one.
(iii') *For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ there exists a function $f \in L_h^{\diamond,k}(G) \cap \mathcal{A}^k(G)$ such that G is the domain of existence of f.*

Note that $\mathcal{F}_k(G)$ is an algebra.

Corollary 4. *Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a bounded fat n-circled domain of holomorphy. Then G is an $\mathcal{A}^k(G)$-domain of holomorphy (for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$).*

In the case where $G = \{|z_1| < |z_2| < 1\}$ is the Hartogs triangle the above result has been proved in [Sib].

For $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \{0,1\}^n$ put

$$\begin{aligned} V_\varepsilon := \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \colon & 1^o \colon z_j = 0 \text{ for all } j \text{ such that } \varepsilon_j = 1, \\ & 2^o \colon z_j \neq 0 \text{ for all } j \text{ such that } \varepsilon_j = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$G_\varepsilon := \{(\lambda_1^{\varepsilon_1} z_1, \dots, \lambda_n^{\varepsilon_n} z_n) \colon \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \overline{E},\ (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in G\},$$

where E denotes the unit disc.

Proposition 5. *Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be an n-circled $\mathcal{H}^\infty(G)$-domain of holomorphy. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*
(i) *for any $\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^n$ if $V_\varepsilon \cap \partial G \neq \varnothing$, then $G_\varepsilon \subset G$;*
(ii) *G is a $\mathcal{S}(G)$-domain of holomorphy, where*

$$\mathcal{S}(G) := \{f \in \mathcal{O}(G) \colon \sup_{K \cap G} |\partial^\nu f| < +\infty \quad \text{for any compact } K \subset \overline{G} \text{ and for any } \nu \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^n\};$$

(iii) *G is an $\mathcal{A}^\infty(G)$-domain of holomorphy;*
(iv) *G is an $\mathcal{H}^\infty(G) \cap \mathcal{O}(\overline{G})$-domain of holomorphy.*

Corollary 6. *Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a bounded fat n-circled domain of holomorphy. Then G is an $\mathcal{A}^\infty(G)$-domain of holomorphy iff G satisfies condition (i) of Proposition 5.*

In the case where G is the Hartogs triangle the above result has been proved (by different methods) in [Sib].

Proposition 7. *Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be an n-circled domain of holomorphy. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*
(i) *$G = D \times \mathbb{C}^{n-m}$, where D is a fat m-circled domain of holomorphy with $\mathcal{E}(\log D) = \{0\}$ and $0 \le m \le n$;*
(ii) *G is an $\mathcal{H}^{\infty,1}(G)$-domain of holomorphy;*
(iii) *for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ the domain G is an $\mathcal{H}^{\infty,k}(G) \cap \mathcal{A}^k(G)$-domain of holomorphy.*

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 3

(iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii) follows from Proposition 1.

(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i). Let $f = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_\nu z^\nu \in L^p_h(G)$, $f \not\equiv 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G |a_\nu z^\nu|^p d\Lambda_{2n}(z) &= (2\pi)^n \int_{|G|} \left| \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{\substack{|\zeta_j| = r_j \\ j=1,\dots,n}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta^{\nu + \mathbf{1}}} d\zeta \right|^p r^{p\nu + \mathbf{1}} d\Lambda_n(r) \\ &\le (2\pi)^{n(1-p)} \int_{|G|} \left(\int_{[0,2\pi]^n} |f(re^{i\theta})| d\Lambda_n(\theta) \right)^p r^{\mathbf{1}} d\Lambda_n(r) \\ &\le \int_{|G|} \int_{[0,2\pi]^n} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\Lambda_n(\theta)\, r^{\mathbf{1}} d\Lambda_n(r) \\ &= \int_G |f|^p d\Lambda_{2n}, \end{aligned}$$

where $|G| := \{(|z_1|, \dots, |z_n|) \colon (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in G\}$ and Λ_n denotes Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbf{1} := (1, \dots, 1)$. Consequently, there exists $\nu_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $z^{\nu_0} \in L^p_h(G)$.

Suppose that $F := \mathcal{E}(\log G) \neq \{0\}$. Let $k := \dim F$ and let $Y \subset F^\perp$ be a convex domain such that $\log G = Y + F$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_G |z^{\nu_0}|^p d\Lambda_{2n}(z) &= (2\pi)^n \int_{\log G} e^{\langle x, p\nu_0 + \mathbf{2}\rangle} d\Lambda_n(x) \\ &= \int_Y e^{\langle x', p\nu_0 + \mathbf{2}\rangle} d\Lambda_{n-k}(x') \int_F e^{\langle x'', p\nu_0 + \mathbf{2}\rangle} d\Lambda_k(x'') \\ &= +\infty,\end{aligned}$$

where $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ is the Euclidean scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbf{2} := 2 \cdot \mathbf{1}$. We have got a contradiction.

(i) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii). Fix $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and put $\mathcal{F}_k(G) := L^{\diamond,k}_h(G) \cap \mathcal{A}^k(G)$.

Suppose that there exist domains G_0, $\widetilde{G} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\varnothing \neq G_0 \subset G \cap \widetilde{G}$, $\widetilde{G} \not\subset G$, and for each $f \in \mathcal{F}_k(G)$ there exists $\widetilde{f} \in \mathcal{O}(\widetilde{G})$ with $\widetilde{f} = f$ on G_0.

Since G is fat, we may assume that $\widetilde{G} \not\subset \overline{G}$ and that $\widetilde{G} \cap W_0 = \varnothing$, where $W_0 := \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \colon z_1 \cdot \dots \cdot z_n = 0\}$. We may also assume that $\mathbf{1} \in \widetilde{G} \setminus \overline{G}$. For, if $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \widetilde{G} \setminus \overline{G}$, then we replace G by $F(G)$, where $F(z_1, \dots, z_n) := (z_1/a_1, \dots, z_n/a_n)$.

Since $\mathcal{E}(\log G) = \{0\}$ and G is fat, there exist $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent vectors $\alpha_j = (\alpha_{j,1}, \dots, \alpha_{j,n}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, such that

$$G \subset D := \{z \in U \colon |z^{\alpha_j}| < 1 \colon j = 1, \dots, n\},$$

where

$$U := \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \colon \text{ if for some } j, \ell \text{ we have } \alpha_{j,\ell} < 0, \text{ then } z_\ell \neq 0\}$$

(cf. [Jar-Pfl 1]). Define $\Phi \colon U \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$, $\Phi(z) := (z^{\alpha_1}, \dots, z^{\alpha_n})$. Note that Φ is holomorphic, $D = \Phi^{-1}(E^n)$, and that Φ is biholomorphic in a neighbourhood of $\mathbf{1}$. In particular, there exists a point $b \in \widetilde{G} \setminus \overline{G}$ such that $r_j := |b^{\alpha_j}| > 1$, $j = 1, \dots, n$.

Let $\gamma \colon [0,1] \longrightarrow \widetilde{G}$ be an arc with $\gamma(0) \in G_0$, $\gamma(1) = b$. Put

$$D_0 := \{z \in U \colon |z^{\alpha_j}| < r_j,\ j = 1, \dots, n\}$$

and let $t_0 := \sup\{t > 0 \colon \gamma([0,t)) \subset D_0\}$. Put $c := \gamma(t_0)$. Then there exists $j_0 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $|c^{\alpha_{j_0}}| = r_{j_0}$. We may assume that $j_0 = n$.

Put $\alpha := \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$f_N(z) := \frac{z^{N\alpha}}{z^{\alpha_n} - c^{\alpha_n}}, \quad z \in D_0.$$

Obviously $f_N \in \mathcal{O}(D_0)$. Note that $\gamma([0,t_0)) \subset \widetilde{G} \cap D_0$ and $\lim_{t\to t_0-} f_N(\gamma(t)) = \infty$. In particular, f_N cannot be holomorphically continued to $\widetilde{G}$.

Consequently, to get a contradiction it suffices to show that $f_N \in \mathcal{F}_k(D)$ provided $N \gg 1$.

For any $\sigma \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^n$ with $|\sigma| \le k$ we get

$$\partial^\sigma f_N(z) = -\sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \sigma! \binom{N\alpha + \mu\alpha_n}{\sigma} \frac{z^{N\alpha+\mu\alpha_n-\sigma}}{d^{\mu+1}}, \quad z \in D_0,$$

where $d := c^{\alpha_n}$ (recall that $|d| = r_n > 1$). One can easily show that

$$\left|\sigma! \binom{N\alpha + \mu\alpha_n}{\sigma}\right| \le (P + Q\mu)^R, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

where $P, Q, R \in \mathbb{N}$ depend only on $k, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$, and N. Since the series

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} \frac{(P+Q\mu)^R}{r_n^{\mu+1}}$$

is convergent, we only need to find $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for arbitrary $|\sigma| \le k$ and $p \in [1, +\infty]$ we get

$$z^{N\alpha+\mu\alpha_n-\sigma} \in L^p_h(D) \cap \mathcal{C}(\overline{D}), \quad \|z^{N\alpha+\mu\alpha_n-\sigma}\|_{L^p(D)} \le 1.$$

Observe that $|z^{N\alpha+\mu\alpha_n-\sigma}| \le |z^{N\alpha-\sigma}|$ on D. Hence, it is enough to prove that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for arbitrary $|\sigma| \le k$ and $p \in [1, +\infty]$ we have

$$\|z^{N\alpha-\sigma}\|_{L^p(D)} \le 1, \quad \lim_{D \ni z \to z_0} z^{N\alpha-\sigma} = 0, \quad z_0 \in W_0 \cap \partial D.$$

Let $A := [\alpha_{j,\ell}]_{j,\ell=1,\dots,n}$, $B := A^{-1}$, $T_j(x) := (xB)_j = \sum_{\ell=1}^n B_{\ell,j} x_\ell$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

For $p \in [1, +\infty)$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_D |z^\nu|^p d\Lambda_{2n}(z) &= (2\pi)^n \int_{\log D} e^{\langle x, p\nu + \mathbf{2}\rangle} d\Lambda_n(x) \\ &= (2\pi)^n \int_{\{\xi<0\}} e^{\langle B\xi, p\nu+\mathbf{2}\rangle} |\det B| d\Lambda_n(\xi) \\ &= \frac{(2\pi)^n}{|\det A| T_1(p\nu+\mathbf{2}) \cdot \ldots \cdot T_n(p\nu+\mathbf{2})}\end{aligned}$$

provided that $T_j(p\nu + \mathbf{2}) > 0$, $j = 1 \dots, n$. In particular, if

$$T_j(\nu) \ge \frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{2\pi}{|\det A|^{1/n}} - T_j(\mathbf{2})\right), \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

then $\|z^{\nu}\|_{L^p(D)} \le 1$. Hence, if $\nu = N\alpha - \sigma$ and if

$$N \ge N_0 := \max\{T_j(\sigma) + \frac{1}{p}\Big(\frac{2\pi}{|\det A|^{1/n}} - T_j(\mathbf{2})\Big)\colon \\ j = 1, \dots, n,\ \sigma \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^n,\ |\sigma| \le k+1,\ p \in [1, +\infty)\},$$

then $\|z^{N\alpha-\sigma}\|_{L^p(D)} \le 1$ for arbitrary $p \in [1, +\infty)$ and $|\sigma| \le k$.

Moreover, $N_0 \ge T_j(\sigma)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, and therefore $N\alpha - \sigma \in \mathbb{R}_+\alpha_1 + \dots + \mathbb{R}_+\alpha_n$, which shows that $\|z^{N\alpha-\sigma}\|_{\mathcal{H}^\infty(D)} \le 1$ for arbitrary $|\sigma| \le k$.

Finally, let $z_0 = (z_{0,1}, \dots, z_{0,n}) \in W_0 \cap \partial D$. Assume that $z_{0,\ell_0} = 0$. Let $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ denotes the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $|\sigma| \le k$. Since $N_0 \ge T_j(\sigma + e_{\ell_0})$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, we get $N\alpha - \sigma - e_{\ell_0} \in \mathbb{R}_+\alpha_1 + \dots + \mathbb{R}_+\alpha_n$. This shows that $|z^{N\alpha-\sigma}| \le |z_{\ell_0}|$ in D and therefore $\lim_{D \ni z \to z_0} z^{N\alpha-\sigma} = 0$. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 5

The implications (iv) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii) are trivial.

(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i). Suppose that $V_\varepsilon \cap \partial G \ne \varnothing$ for an $\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^n$, $\varepsilon \ne (0, \dots, 0)$. We may assume that $\varepsilon_1 = \dots \varepsilon_s = 1$, $\varepsilon_{s+1} = \dots = \varepsilon_n = 0$ for some $1 \le s \le n$. We will show that each function

$$f = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_\nu(f) z^\nu \in \mathcal{S}(G)$$

extends holomorphically to G_ε. Consequently, since G is an $\mathcal{S}(G)$-domain of holomorphy, we will get $G_\varepsilon \subset G$.

Fix an $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$. It suffices to show that $a_\mu(f) = 0$ for all $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $\mu_j < 0$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$. We may assume that $\mu_1 \ge 0, \dots \mu_t \ge 0$, $\mu_{t+1} < 0, \dots, \mu_s < 0$, where $0 \le t \le s-1$. Define $\sigma := (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_t, 0, \dots, 0) \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^n$. Let $r_0 = (r_{0,1}, \dots, r_{0,n}) \in V_\varepsilon \cap \partial G \cap (\mathbb{R}_+)^n$ and let

$$U := \{(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in G\colon |r_j - r_{0,j}| < 1,\ r_j > 0,\ j = 1, \dots, n\},$$
$$K := \{(e^{i\theta_1} r_1, \dots, e^{i\theta_n} r_n)\colon (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \overline{U},\ (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n\},$$
$$M := \sup_{K \cap G} |\partial^\sigma f|.$$

Since

$$\partial^\sigma f(z) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sigma! \binom{\nu}{\sigma} a_\nu(f) z^{\nu-\sigma},$$

the Cauchy inequalities give:

$$\sigma! |a_\mu(f)| \le \frac{M}{r^{\mu-\sigma}} = \frac{M}{r_{t+1}^{\mu_{t+1}} \cdot \ldots \cdot r_n^{\mu_n}}, \quad r = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in U.$$

Now, letting $U \ni r \longrightarrow r_0$, we conclude that $a_\mu(f) = 0$.

(i) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii). We know that G is of the form

$$G = \operatorname{int} \bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \{|z^\alpha| < c_\alpha\} \tag{1}$$

with $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $z^\alpha \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(G)$, $\alpha \in A$ (cf. Proposition 1). We will prove that $z^\alpha \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{G})$ for arbitrary $\alpha \in A$.

Fix an $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in A$. We may assume that $\alpha_1 < 0, \dots, \alpha_s < 0$, $\alpha_{s+1} \geq 0, \dots \alpha_n \geq 0$ for some $1 \leq s \leq n$. We have to prove that $\partial G \cap \{z_1 \cdot \dots \cdot z_s = 0\} = \varnothing$. Suppose that $\partial G \cap \{z_1 \cdot \dots \cdot z_s = 0\} \neq \varnothing$. Then we may assume that $\partial G \cap V_\varepsilon \neq \varnothing$ for some $\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^n$ with $\varepsilon_1 = 1$. By (iii) $G_\varepsilon \subset G$. In particular,

$$|\lambda^{\alpha_1}||z^\alpha| < c_\alpha, \quad \lambda \in \overline{E},\ z \in G;$$

contradiction.

Now, suppose that there exist domains G_0, $\widetilde{G} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\varnothing \neq G_0 \subset G \cap \widetilde{G}$, $\widetilde{G} \not\subset G$, and for each $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(G) \cap \mathcal{O}(\overline{G})$ there exists $\widetilde{f} \in \mathcal{O}(\widetilde{G})$ with $\widetilde{f} = f$ on G_0. Since G is fat, we may assume that $\widetilde{G} \cap \{z_1 \cdot \dots \cdot z_n = 0\} = \varnothing$.

First observe that $\|\widetilde{f}\|_{\widetilde{G}} \leq \|f\|_G$. For, suppose that $|\widetilde{f}(a)| > \|f\|_G$ for some $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(G) \cap \mathcal{O}(\overline{G})$ and $a \in \widetilde{G}$. Then the function $g := 1/(f - \widetilde{f}(a))$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}^\infty(G) \cap \mathcal{O}(\overline{G})$ and cannot be holomorphically continued to $\widetilde{G}$; contradiction.

Consequently, $|z^\alpha| < c_\alpha$ on $\widetilde{G}$ for any $\alpha \in A$. Hence, by (1), $\widetilde{G} \subset G$; contradiction. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 7

(i) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii) follows from Proposition 3. (iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii) is trivial.

(ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i). Let $F := \mathcal{E}(\log G)$, $m := \dim F$. The cases $m = 0$ and $m = n$ are trivial. Assume $1 \leq m \leq n-1$. One can easily prove (cf. [Jar-Pfl 1]) that for any $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(G)$ the Laurent series of f has the form

$$\sum_{\nu \in F^\perp \cap \mathbb{Z}^n} a_\nu(f) z^\nu, \quad z \in G. \tag{2}$$

Now, suppose that $f \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty,1}(G)$. By the above remark (applied to f and $\partial f/\partial z_j$ simultaneously) we see that if $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is such that $\nu_j \neq 0$ and $a_\nu(f) \neq 0$, then $\nu, \nu - e_j \in F^\perp$, and, consequently, $e_j \in F^\perp$ ($(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ denotes the canonical basis in $\mathbb{R}^n$). Since $\dim F^\perp = n - m$, we may assume that $e_{s+1}, \dots, e_n \notin F^\perp$ for some $0 \leq s \leq n - m$. Thus, the series (2) is independent of the variables $z_{s+1}, \dots, z_n$ and, therefore, it is convergent in the domain $D \times \mathbb{C}^{n-s}$, where D denotes the projection of G on $\mathbb{C}^s$. Since G is an $\mathcal{H}^{\infty,1}(G)$-domain of holomorphy, $G = D \times \mathbb{C}^{n-s}$ and D is an $\mathcal{H}^{\infty,1}(D)$-domain of holomorphy. Moreover, $\mathcal{E}(\log G) = \mathcal{E}(\log D) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-s}$. Hence $n - s = m$ and $\mathcal{E}(\log D) = \{0\}$. $\square$

In [Sic 1,2] J. Siciak characterized those balanced domains of holomorphy $G \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, which are $\mathcal{H}^\infty(G)$- (resp. $\mathcal{H}^\infty(G) \cap \mathcal{A}^\infty(G)$-) domains of holomorphy. Moreover, it is known that any bounded balanced domain of holomorphy $G \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is an $L^2_h(G)$-domain of holomorphy (cf. [Jar-Pfl 2]). A general discussion for balanced domains of holomorphy (like the one for n-circled domains) is still unknown.

REFERENCES

[Jar-Pfl 1] M. Jarnicki & P. Pflug, *Existence domains of holomorphic functions of restricted growth*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 304 (1987), 385–404.

[Jar-Pfl 2] M. Jarnicki & P. Pflug, *On balanced L^2-domains of holomorphy*, Ann. Pol. Math. (1995) (to appear).

[Sib] N. Sibony, *Prolongement de fonctions holomorphes bornées et metrique de Carathéodory*, Invent. Math. 29 (1975), 205–230.

[Sic 1] J. Siciak, *Circled domains of holomorphy of type $\mathcal{H}^\infty$*, Bull. Soc. Sci. et de Lettres de Łódź 34 (1984), 1–20.

[Sic 2] J. Siciak, *Balanced domains of holomorphy of type H^∞*, Mat. Vesnik 37 (1985), 134–144.

UNIWERSYTET JAGIELLOŃSKI
INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI
30-059 KRAKÓW, REYMONTA 4, POLAND
E-mail: jarnicki@im.uj.edu.pl

CARL VON OSSIETZKY UNIVERSITÄT OLDENBURG
FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK
POSTFACH 2503
D-26111 OLDENBURG, GERMANY
E-mail: pflugvec@dosuni1.rz.uni-osnabrueck.de
E-mail: pflug@hrz2.pcnet.uni-oldenburg.de